JOURNAL OF MANAGEMENT VALUE & ETHICS

RNI- MPENG01098 ISSN 2249-9512

STATEMENT ON PUBLICATION ETHICS AND PUBLICATION MALPRACTICE

Journal of Management Value & Ethics is a double peer- reviewed open access international journal. Our Gwalior Management Academy has a policy for those who are involving in publication work like authors, research scholars, editors etc. The points of the norms are based on COPE and follows as;

Editor's responsibilities

Board decision for publication articles

Our editorial board final the research papers with the consent of Editor in Chief, which paper is acceptable for publication in the Journal of Management Value & Ethics. The whole process done after double blind peer review. The Editorial Board members are guided by the policies of the journal for legal requirements like copyright infringement and plagiarism etc. However our board does not influence to the reviewers, who are conducting the blind review of the articles submitted for peer review.

Fair evaluation

Manuscripts shall be evaluated solely on their intellectual merit without regard to authors' race, gender, sexual orientation, religious beliefs, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political philosophy.

Confidentiality

The Editor - In – Chief and any editorial board member does not disclose any information about a submitted manuscript to anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers, potential reviewers, other editorial advisers, and the publisher.

Disclosure and conflicts of authors

Unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted manuscript must not be used by anyone who has a view of the manuscript, in his or her own research without the express written consent of the author.

Duties of Reviewers

Contribution of double blind peer review

Double Blind Peer review assists the reviewers in making editorial decisions, while editorial communications with the author may also assist the author in improving the paper. The reviewers don't know the author's identity, as any identifying information will be stripped from the document before review. Reviewers' comments to the editors are confidential and before passing on to the author will be made anonymous. The names of the reviewers remain strictly confidential; with their identities known only the Editor In Chief.

Promptness

Any selected referee who feels unqualified to review the assigned manuscript or unable to provide a prompt review should notify the Editor In Chief and excuse himself or herself from the review process.

Confidentiality

Manuscripts received for review must be treated as confidential documents. They must not be shown to, or discussed with, others except as authorized by the Editor In Chief.

Standards of objectivity

Reviews should be conducted objectively. There shall be no personal criticism of the author. Reviewers should express their views clearly with supporting arguments.

Acknowledgment of sources

Reviewers should identify relevant published work that has not been cited by the authors. Any statement that had been previously reported elsewhere should be accompanied by the relevant citation. A reviewer should also call to the Editor In Chief attention any substantial similarity or overlap between the manuscript under consideration and any other published paper of which they have personal knowledge.

Confidentiality

Privileged information or ideas obtained through double blind peer review must be kept confidential and not used for personal advantage.

Conflict of interest

Reviewers should not review manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or institutions connected to the papers.

Duties of the Authors Reporting standards

Authors of reports of original research should present an accurate account of the work performed as well as an objective discussion of its significance. Underlying data should be represented accurately in the paper. A paper should contain sufficient detail and references to permit others to replicate the work. Fraud knowingly inaccurate statements constitute unethical behavior and are unacceptable.

Data Access and retention

Authors may be asked to provide the raw data in connection with a paper for editorial review, and should be prepared to provide public access to such, if practicable, and should in any event, be prepared to retain such data for a reasonable time after publication.

Original and plagiarism

Authors should ensure that they have written entirely original works, and if the authors have used the work or words of others, this must be appropriately cited or quoted.

Multiple publications

An author should not in general publish manuscripts describing essentially the same research in more than one journal or primary publication. Submitting the same manuscript to more than one journal concurrently constitutes unethical publishing behavior and is unacceptable.

Acknowledgment of sources

Proper acknowledgment of the work of others must always be given. Authors should cite publications that have been influential in determining the nature of the reported work.

Authorship of the paper

Authorship should be limited to those who have made a significant contribution to the conception, design, execution, or interpretation of the reported study. All those who have made significant contributions should be listed as co-authors. Where there are others who have participated in certain substantive aspects of the research project, they should be acknowledged or listed as contributors.

Corresponding author

The Corresponding Author is the author responsible for communicating with the journal for publication. The corresponding author should ensure that all appropriate co-authors and no inappropriate co-authors are included on the paper, and that all co-authors have seen and approved the final version of the paper and have agreed to its submission for publication.

Acknowledgment of funding sources

Sources of funding for the research reported in the article should be duly acknowledged at the end of the article.

Disclosure and conflicts of interest

All authors should disclose in their manuscript any financial or other substantive conflict of interest that might be construed to influence the results or interpretation of their manuscript. All sources of financial support for the project should be disclosed.

Fundamental errors in published works

When an author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in his/her own published work, it is the author's obligation to promptly notify the Editor In Chief and cooperate with the editors to retract or correct the paper.